

DW10-091

From: Harry Haymes [mailto:haha@sprintmail.com]
Sent: Friday, September 17, 2010 3:25 PM
To: PUC
Subject: Pennichuck Proposed Rate Hike

Although I am not a Pennichuck customer I am opposed to granting them a rate increase. In their request for this increase, they cite: *"Primary drivers" for revenue are the investment the company made in a treatment plant, a three year reduction in water consumption and a \$410,000 increase the company has paid in property taxes.*

Many utilities request that their customers reduce their consumption of the utility's product. When the customers comply, the utility goes crying back to the PUC that their profit has slumped. I feel that they should NOT get that increase at our expense. They requested the reduction and we complied, so, now eat the loss. They should not have the best of both worlds. We the public have rights also. The State should think of its citizens and not the big utility companies. Additionally, when we get an increase in our property tax, where and how do we write it off?

Sincerely,
Mr. & Mrs. Harry Haymes
26 Cross Rd.
Amherst, NH 03031

